

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
69/784,429- 69/784,4	2-9 02/15/2001	Eric D. Edwards	50N3690.01/1581	5071
Gregory J. Koerner Redwood Patent Law 1291 East Hillsdale Boulevard Suite 205 Foster City, CA 94404			EXAMINER VIEAUX, GARY	
			2622	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
30 DAYS		04/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/784,429	EDWARDS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Gary C. Vieaux	2622	

- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -The amendment document filed on 12 February 2007 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required. THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: ☐ 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. □ C. Other _____. 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. □ B. Other ☐ 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). □ B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. C. Other_ ✓ 4. Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended), D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. E. Other: See Continuation Sheet. 5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4): For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714. TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE: 1. Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted. 2. Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1, to 4, are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action. Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.

Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable

Telephone No.

Continuation of 4(e) Other: Per MPEP §714.02, the reply MUST present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. The blanket statements by Applicant of "that the cited references fail to teach..." does not equate to "a pointing out of the specific distinctions believed to render the claims patentable over any applied references" as required by MPEP §714.02. An example of such lack of specificity over applied references is found in relation to claim 54, employing USB connectivity, a form of data transfer clearly taught in the previously cited references (see Hansen US 6,317,639).

Applicant is further instructed to clearly and concisely indicate support for each of the amended limitations made. See 35 U.S.C. §112 and MPEP §714.02 and §2173.

Continued failure to adhere to the practices and procedures relative to the prosecution of patent applications before the USPTO may result in future amendments being held not fully responsive or the reply not being considered a bona fide attempt to advance the application to final action See CRF 37 §1.135.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER